

"45 years of Redeeming Lives and Restoring Relationships"

2021

ANNUAL REPORT



PAROLE AND PROBATION ADMINISTRATION



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	The Parole and Probation Administration	
	Brief History	1
	The Vision - Mission - Goals	2
	The Organizational Structure	
	Personnel Complement	
	The Agency Budget for FY 2021	
	Accomplishment vis-à-vis Target	
II.	Performance Highlights	5
	Investigation Service	
	Supervision Service	
	Rehabilitation Service	
III.	Other Programs/Services/Activities Conducted	10
IV.	Support Services	12
V.	Priority Programs For FY 2022	16
VI.	Strategic Directions for CY 2022	17
VII.	Statistics	19
	Probation and Parole Caseloads, 1978 to 2021	
	Indemnification of Civil Liability, 1978 to 2021	
	Government Savings, 1978 to 2021	
	Regional Probation and Parole Caseload for CY 2022	
	Regional Rehabilitation Programs and Services for CY 2021	
	Regional Mobilization of VPAs for CY 2021	
	Regional Jail Decongestion Activities CY 2021	
	Regional Social Marketing Activities CY 2021	



BRIEF HISTORY

Adult probation as a reformation idea was twice attempted to be implemented in the country's justice system. First was in 1935 and second in 1972.

On August 7, 1935, the Philippine Legislature passed Act No. 4221. This Act created the Probation Office under the Department of Justice, headed by a Chief Probation Officer appointed by the American Governor-General with the advice and consent of the US Senate. The Act also granted probation to first-time offenders 18 years old above and convicted of certain crimes. However, two years after its implementation, on November 16, 1937, the Supreme Court declared it unconstitutional because of its constitutional and procedural defect.

In 1972, House Bill No. 393 was filed in the Congress with the purpose of establishing a probation system in the country. Its provisions removed the defects of the previous law that made it constitutionally and procedurally defective. Despite the Congress passing the bill, HB No. 393 gathered dust in the Senate of the Philippines upon the declaration of Martial Law.

In 1975, the late Assemblyman Teodulo C. Natividad introduced another probation decree. The proposed decree was presented on April 24, 1976, at the seminar on probation system sponsored by the National Police Commission (NAPOLCOM) at the UP Law Center. It was also presented at the First National Conference on Crime Control on July 22-24, 1976. The Bill underwent eighteen (18) technical hearings and submitted to select behavioral scientists and law practitioners before it was endorsed for approval.

Finally, on July 24, 1976, President Ferdinand E. Marcos signed the proposed decree known as Presidential Decree No. 968 (PD 968) or the "Probation Law of 1976". With its enactment, it created the Probation Administration. The late Congressman Teodulo C. Natividad, recognized as the Father of Philippine Probation, was appointed as its first Administrator.


With PD 968, probation became an added component of the Philippine Corrections System and proved its institutional worth.

On November 23, 1989, with the issuance of Executive Order No. 292 or "The Administrative Code of 1987", the Probation Administration became PAROLE AND PROBATION ADMINISTRATION (PPA). EO 292 expanded PPA's mandate to include supervised treatment of released prisoners, who after serving a part of their sentence, are released on parole or granted presidential pardon with parole conditions.

In line with this expanded function, the Board of Pardons and Parole issued BPP Resolution No. 229 dated April 11, 1991 granting PPA the authority to conduct pre-parole or pre-executive clemency investigation on prisoners confined in local jails and the national penitentiary and penal colonies.

Additionally, the investigation and supervision of First-time Minor Drug Offenders (FTMDO) placed under suspended sentence became another responsibility of PPA. This is pursuant to Sections 66, 68 and 81(b) of Republic Act No. 9166 or "The Comprehensive Dangerous Drugs Act of 2002", of DDB Resolution No. 2 dated July 19, 2005 and the Memorandum of Agreement between Dangerous Drugs Board and PPA. However, with RA No. 9344 enacted known as "The Juvenile Justice Welfare Act of 2006", these minor drug offenders are now included in the probation supervision program of PPA, if qualified under existing Probation Law. This is to stay true to the Restorative Justice principle and take into account the best interest of these children.

To strengthen PPA's community engagement, Executive Order No. 468 dated October 11, 2005, was issued to revitalize the Volunteer Probation Aide Program now called Volunteer Probation Assistant (VPA). This places PPA in the forefront in relation to crime prevention, treatment of offenders in a community-based setting, and the overall efforts in the administration of criminal justice.



THE PAROLE AND PROBATION ADMINISTRATION

The Parole and Probation Administration (DOJ-PPA) is an attached agency of the Department of Justice responsible for providing a less costly alternative to the imprisonment of first-time offenders who are likely to respond to individualized, community-based treatment programs. It was created by virtue of Presidential Decree No. 968 or "The Probation Law of 1976", to administer the probation system. Under Executive Order No. 292, "The Administrative Code of 1987", which was promulgated on November 23, 1989, the Probation Administration was renamed "Parole and Probation Administration" and given the added function of supervising prisoners who, after serving part of their sentence in jails are released on parole or pardon with parole conditions. On August 17, 2005, by virtue of a Memorandum of Agreement with the Dangerous Drugs Board, the Administration performs another function of investigating and supervising first-time minor drug offenders who are placed on suspended sentence pursuant to Republic Act No. 9165. Additionally, under RA 11362 or the "Community Service Act", offenders rendering community service are also placed under its supervision.

VISION

By 2024, the DOJ-PPA is the ASEAN's model of community-based corrections in crime prevention

MISSION

To rehabilitate and reintegrate persons on community-based corrections for peace and social justice

GOALS

The Administration's program is mandated by pertinent laws, and as such, sets to achieve the following goals: a) **Promote the correction and rehabilitation of an offender by providing them with individualized treatment;** b) **Provide an opportunity for the reformation of a penitent offender which might be less probable if he were to serve a prison sentence;** and c) **Prevent the commission of offenses.** To carry out these goals, the Administration, through its network of regional and field parole and probation offices, performs the following functions: a) to administer the parole and probation system; b) to exercise supervision over parolees, pardonees, probationers, first-time minor drug offenders under suspended sentence, and clients rendering community service; and c) to promote the correction and rehabilitation of criminal offenders.

CORE VALUES

Professionalism. Manifests in Role modeling and Professional excellence

Performance. As seen in Teamwork, Resourcefulness, and Innovativeness

Accountability. Doing work with Responsibility, Honesty and Integrity



To carry out the objectives of the Probation Law of 1976, the Administration is organized into 16 Regional Offices and 224 Provincial and City Probation Offices with staffing complement of 1,236 authorized positions nationwide.


Maximum support needs to be given to the various probation offices throughout the country which provide direct service to its clients. Three staff divisions, namely, the Financial and Management Division (FMD), the Administrative Division (AD), and Planning Division (PD), perform staff functions that are primarily designed for the internal affairs of the Administration's offices. They are concerned with day to day operations essential for the orderly and effective management of the Administration's activities.

On the other hand, technical services are offered by four (4) divisions, namely, the Legal Division (LD), Community Services Division (CSD), Case Management and Records Division (CMRD) and Technical Services Division (TSD).

Distribution of Personnel As of December 31, 2021


STATION	Authorized	Filled
CENTRAL OFFICE	138	101
REGIONAL OFFICES		
I	66	51
II	49	41
III	87	70
IV-A	106	73
IV-B	42	27
V	62	50
VI	89	69
VII	127	73
VIII	65	55
IX	53	32
X	71	54
XI	60	54
XII	43	23
CARAGA	44	33
NCR	153	108
CAR	41	34
Grand Total	1,296	948

DOJ-PPA Organizational Structure



Under the 2021 General Appropriations Act (GAA), the Agency has a total budget of PhP 983,637,000.00 and the item allocation is presented in the graph below.

A total amount of PhP43,372,000.00 is allocated for locally-funded projects namely: Automation of Caseload Management Information System and Performance Monitoring and Evaluation System for a total of PhP20,560,000.00. PhP22,812,000.00 is allocated for the purchase of drug test kits under the Philippine Anti-Drug Strategies.



Government Saving Through Probation and Parole Systems

One of the goals of the Administration is "to provide a less costly alternative to the imprisonment of offenders who are likely to responds to individualized community-based treatment program. For CY 2021, an estimated savings of Php2.481 Billion has been realized by the government, thus bringing to Php 13.148 Billion total savings since 1978.



ACCOMPLISHMENT VIS-À-VIS TARGET FOR FY 2021

For fiscal year 2021, the Administration had a total budget of PhP 983,637,000.00 for the implementation of probation and parole services. The Department of Budget and Management (DBM) periodically monitors and evaluates the Agency's accomplishment vis-a-vis its target with the utilization of funds allotted to the organization.

Year 2021 is still a difficult year due to COVID-19 Pandemic. Nevertheless, the Administration was able to deliver the mandated functions, programs and services with the use of technology, maximized mobilization of Volunteer Probation Assistants (VPAs) and strong linkages/collaboration with the barangays.

Presented below is the target set by the DBM for the Administration and its corresponding accomplishment. As shown, there are seven (7) indicators and six (6) or 85.71% of the targets were achieved, if not surpassed the targets. Output indicator number 2— Percent of investigation reports submitted to Courts/Board of Pardons and Parole within the prescribed period was 16.76% below the target of 80%. This is primarily due to huge number of investigation cases handled by a probation officer in particular, a ratio of 1:247, in the midst of COVID-19 pandemic. The DBM assessment recognized the resiliency of the Administration. Achieving 85.71% of the targets is remarkable and a manifestation of the officials and employees' dedication to the Administration's noble mission.

Performance Measures	Physical Target	Accomplishment
Outcome Indicators		
1. Percent of probation investigation recommendations sustained by the courts/BPP	95.00%	98.43% (23,231/23,602)
2. Percent of supervision recommendations sustained by the courts and BPP	97.00%	99.98%
3. Percent of client's compliance to the terms of their probation and/or parole conditions	95.00%	99.55% 138,046/138,675)
Output Indicators		
1. Percent of clients participating in the rehabilitation program	95.00%	98.39% (65,700/66,778)
2. Percent of investigation reports submitted to courts/Board of Pardons and Parole within the prescribed period	80.00%	63.24% (67,675/107,014)
3. Number of rehabilitation and intervention services rendered to clients	1,785,316 and 1% (1,802,346)	2,477,512
4. Percent of Volunteer Probation Assistants mobilized to assist in the rehabilitation program of clients	94.18%	97.35% (5,575/5,727)


MAJOR PROGRAMS AND SERVICES

Investigation Service

The objective of this function is to provide the Courts and the Board of Pardons and Parole (BPP) with the necessary and relevant information which can be used in determining petitioner/prisoner's fitness for probation/parole/any form of executive clemency.

This task involves the investigation and evaluation of the physical, mental and moral background of the client through the information provided by the collateral informants in the community, where a client resides. Further, it includes identification of the available community resources to determine the level of community support in the rehabilitation of a client.

INVESTIGATION CASES HANDLED
(TOTAL=107,014)



STATUS OF PROBATION INVESTIGATION

PSIR Submitted	58,242
Manifestation Submitted	6,767
Recall/With Warrant of Arrest	236
Active Cases End of 2021	39,274

STATUS OF PRE-PAROLE/EXECUTIVE CLEMENCY INVESTIGATION

PP/ECI Submitted	2,341
Active Cases End of 2021	39,274



PPO (wearing green/white) conducting interview with petitioner in jail



PPO (wearing black) conducting interview in the office

Probation Investigation

A total of 104,608 investigation cases was handled from January to December 2021, 44,546 of which were carried over from year 2020 and 60,062 were new court referrals. Of the total investigation cases handled, 65,334 or 62.46% cases were acted upon/submitted to courts broken down as follows: 58,242 submitted Post Sentence Investigation Report (PSIR) wherein 57,404 of were recommended for the grant of probation; 838 for denial; 6,767 were manifestations; 89 were transferred to other PPO; and 236 cases were recalled/with warrant of arrest. At the end of the year, a total of 39,274 investigation referrals were still pending.

Pre-Parole/Executive Clemency Investigation

A total of 2,406 pre-parole/executive clemency investigations was handled from January to December 2021, 2,341 of which were completed and submitted to Board of Pardons and Parole, showing a disposition rate of 97.30 %.

MAJOR PROGRAMS AND SERVICES


Supervision Service

The DOJ-PPA employs the community-based program/intervention to facilitate the rehabilitation of clients with the three-pronged approach: Therapeutic Community Ladderrized Program, Restorative Justice (RJ), and utilization of Volunteers

Further, periodic monitoring of clients in their communities is conducted to ensure strict adherence/compliance with the probation/parole/pardon conditions and to prevent further reoffending.

Probation Supervision Caseload

For CY 2021, a total of 124,953 probation supervision cases was handled; 46,894 were carried over from year 2020 and 78,059 new supervision referrals received during the year. Out of these 124,953 supervision cases, 7,859 were Terminated; 579 were Revoked; and 2,408 were dropped due to death, and others. Thus, at the end of CY 2021, 114,107 probationers were under active supervision.



Parole/Pardon Supervision Caseload

A total of 13,722 parole/pardon supervision cases was handled during the year. Out of this number, 10,707 cases were carried over from the previous year, while 3,015 new supervision referrals received. During the year, 290 clients were issued Final Release and Discharge; 50 were Arrested/Recommitted; 12 Deaths; 13 Other Dropped Cases. At the end of CY 2021, there were 13,357 cases under active parole/pardon supervision.

STATUS OF PROBATION SUPERVISION	
Terminated	7,859
Revoked	579
Others	2,408
Active Cases End of 2021	114,107

Other Supervision Services

Community Service Supervision: A total of twenty-seven (27) clients was required to render community services.

Community Service as Imprisonment Penalty: A total of five hundred twenty-three (523) clients sentenced to render community services, in lieu of imprisonment, was supervised for 2021. Out of this number, 87 were terminated.

Suspended Sentence Supervision: There were five (5) First-Time Minor Drug Offenders supervised

STATUS OF PAROLE/PARDON SUPERVISION	
Final Release and Discharge	290
Arrest/Recommitment	50
Death	12
Other Dropped Cases	13
Active Cases End of 2021	13,357

A total of 139,230 supervision cases were handled during the year. Supervision case-load ratio is estimated at 1:321 on the average per field officer, although the supervision ratio varies for each region and office.

MAJOR PROGRAMS AND SERVICES

Rehabilitation Service

Rehabilitation of Clients

Presented below are the Agency's rehabilitation programs and services implemented through the three-pronged approach namely: Therapeutic Community Ladderrized Program (TCLP), Restorative Justice (RJ) processes and Volunteerism Program.

Despite mobility restrictions and safety protocols in various cities/provinces/barangays due to Covid-19 pandemic, the Agency continuously monitor and supervise the clients through proper coordination with different barangays for the conduct of Community Service within the client's area/barangay and updates on the status of clients. Likewise, 5,575 or 97.35% of Volunteer Probation Assistants (VPAs) out of 5,727 were mobilized for this purpose.

The Agency also explored the use of technology in this time of pandemic to further monitor and assist the clients through e-reporting and e-assistance. Face-to-face reporting and rehabilitation activities were conducted in some field offices, especially those cities/provinces identified as low-risk, observing the safety protocols such as mandatory wearing of face mask and face shield, limiting number of participants to observe physical distancing, etc. A total of **2,477,512** rehabilitation and intervention services was rendered to probation and parole clients.

VPA MOBILIZATION				
TOTAL NUMBER OF VPAs	TOTAL NUMBER OF VPAs MOBILIZED	% of VPAs Mobilized	Total number of clients supervised	Total Number of services rendered by VPAs
5,727	5,575	97.35%	25,804	104,195

THERAPEUTIC COMMUNITY	MTCS/ RA	2,151,366
	TREE PLANTING	103,592
	COOP/ SELF-HELP ASSOCIATION	37,628
	COMMUNITY AND OTHER RELATED ACTIVITIES	63,119
	TOTAL	2,355,705

RESTORATIVE JUSTICE PROCESSES	PRE-ENCOUNTER ACTIVITIES	50,120
	MEDIATION	3,936
	CONFERENCING	55,141
	CIRCLE OF SUPPORT	10,424
	OTHER PROCESS	2,186
	TOTAL	121,807



A VPA giving orientation to clients who were qualified to join the TUPAD Program of the Office of the Congressman



VPA assist probationer (Middle) in his livelihood. He received 50 itik chicks on October 12, 2021 at Poblacion, Luna, Apayao.

Rehabilitation Service

Therapeutic Community is a treatment modality for clients with five components: affective/emotional/psychological, relational/behavior management, cognitive/intellectual, spiritual and psychomotor/vocational-survival skills.

Therapeutic Community Ladderized Program (TCLP) designed by the Agency to integrate TC tools, norms, principles and methods with probation and parole requirements, implemented in progressive phases (I-IV) within the non-residential community-based setting of the Agency's rehabilitation program of clients.

A total of 2,355,705 programs and services was rendered to clients through different TCLP mandatory sessions and reinforcing activities like counseling, lectures/seminars/trainings, (2,151,366), tree planting (103,592), community service (63,119) and the assistance provided by various Field Office/Regional Cooperatives/Self-Help Associations (37,628).


TC PARTICIPANTS BY PHASE

RE-GIONS	BY PHASE					
	PREP	I	II	III	IV	TOTAL
I	224	2,578	805	361	211	4,177
II	278	1,040	312	79	73	1,782
III	928	3,507	1,071	454	380	6,339
IV - A	3,124	4,012	1,148	226	75	8,585
IV - B	29	554	872	10	253	1,717
V	302	2,622	505	405	208	4,043
VI	1,097	1,904	890	299	156	4,345
VII	528	5,307	2,722	499	299	9,354
VIII	616	1,450	690	154	132	3,041
IX	1,424	410	435	165	85	2,519
X	577	2,684	1,093	263	130	4,746
XI	41	1,404	1,723	1,032	745	4,945
XII	159	188	35	22	20	425
XIII	33	1,164	674	292	358	2,520
CAR	49	691	139	71	73	1,023
NCR	530	3,278	1,071	527	208	5,613
TOTAL	9,937	32,790	14,184	4,858	3,402	65,172



PPO (red) conducting individual counselling with a client

Coastal cleaning



Virtual TC Session



Rehabilitation Service

Restorative Justice (RJ) is the philosophical foundation for reintegration of clients into society by restoring their relationship with the victim and community. RJ processes include mediation, conferencing, circle of support and the practices of indigenous people.

For CY 2021, 111,504 clients underwent RJ processes. This includes 50,120 pre-encounter activities, 3,936 mediation, 55,141 conferencing, 10,424 Circle of Support and 2,186 other RJ Processes. Further, 1,142 clients paid their civil liability.

RESTORATIVE JUSTICE OUTCOME					
REGION	NUMBER OF CLIENTS INVOLVED				VICTIMS' OFFENDED PARTIES SERVED
	Restitution	Community Work Service	Restoration of Relationships	Others	
I	28	255	33	17	68
II	216	566	361	487	15
III	71	6,932	1,640	249	1,059
IV - A	42	71	23	0	47
IV - B	108	215	104	68	401
V	24	64	15	122	15
VI	116	1,068	99	218	101
VII	106	10,198	3,044	426	1,185
VIII	24	1,744	309	191	326
IX	2	1,426	29	4	995
X	25	141	54	49	35
XI	767	13,744	7,317	0	681
XII	0	664	45	23	4
XIII	971	8,750	839	34	48
CAR	37	2,572	6	40	1,819
NCR	100	79	49	338	117
TOTAL	2,637	48,489	13,967	2,266	6,916

INDEMNIFICATION OF CIVIL LIABILITY	
AMOUNT OF CIVIL LIABILITY	629,058,722.7
NUMBER. OF CLIENTS WHO PAID	1,142
AMOUNT PAID	21,754,740.10



Restorative Justice (Mediation and Conferencing) with probationer and complainants.



Field officer confer with small group of Clients relative to the community Service Plan implemented and monitored by VPAs in the Barangay Level




A VPA assisting clients in rendering community service .




OTHER PROGRAMS/SERVICES/ACTIVITIES CONDUCTED

Information Dissemination Activities

Quadmedia were utilized to enhance public awareness and foster community participation and support in the rehabilitation and reintegration of the clients in the society. The probation field offices nationwide conducted numerous information dissemination activities, such as 3,944 FORA/ Symposia, 1,246 radio/TV interviews/appearances, 265 printed materials were released and 23,258 probation/parole primers were distributed.



Conducted formal info Drives with the Provincial Jail inmates using an online platform.



Jail Decongestion Activities

Pursuant to the Memorandum of Agreement entered into by the Parole and Probation Administration (PPA) with the Board of Pardons and Parole (BPP), Bureau of Jail Management and Penology (BJMP), Public Attorney's Office (PAO) and National Prosecution Office (NAPros), the Probation and Parole Officers regularly conducted jail visitations to assist Persons Deprived of Liberty (PDLs) for possible early release through the benefits of probation, parole or any form of executive clemency. For CY 2021, a total of 9,476 jail visitations were conducted nationwide and 27,627 PDLs were interviewed and 11,649 of them were referred to concerned offices such as PAO, NAPros and other offices.



Resource Mobilization

With the networking and active participation in local and national program thrusts, the Administration, through its field offices, were able to solicit support from other government institutions, non-government organizations and private individuals for the rehabilitation of clients. Assistance received from these generous organizations include monetary and non-monetary such as conduct of seminars on work and livelihood, skills trainings, counseling/legal services, literacy and lectures for social, moral and spiritual development. For this year, the total amount received from 31,781 donors was Php 118,960,339.93 (includes estimated amount of non-monetary assistance).

CONVERGENCE OF RESTORATIVE PROGRAMS (CRPs) for Probationers, Parolees and Pardonees

A total of Php7,232,000.00 financial assistance has been extended to the 1,932 client-beneficiaries under the Convergence of Restorative Program of the DOJ-PPA-Region I, as shown on the Table below. A total of 2,392 client-beneficiaries have received financial assistance for the 1st and 2nd batch of pay-out, 460 of them have yet to receive Php3,000 each.

REGIONAL RESOURCE MOBILIZATION/ LINKAGES TAPPED CY 2021

REGIONS	AMOUNT	NO. OF DONORS/ LINKAGES
I	10,104,123.00	1,704
II	3,156,706.71	1,039
III	3,600,424.75	531
IV - A	5,942,956.90	2,405
IV - B	564,712.71	83
V	5,029,506.00	2,046
VI	3,794,578.53	452
VII	15,506,553.21	1,452
VIII	8,162,796.00	3,213
IX	3,270,637.00	431
X	2,988,326.59	545
XI	18,029,336.00	7,518
XII	96,700.00	5
XIII	5,730,701.98	455
CAR	1,786,882.00	129
NCR	6,218,546.98	512
TOTAL	93,983,488.36	22,520

Financial Assistance to Client-Beneficiaries Under the Convergence of Restorative Programs

Batch	Number of Beneficiaries	Amount of Extended Financial Assistance by DSWD
1 st Batch	718 @Php5,000.00 each	3,590,000.00
2 nd Batch	1,674 @Php3,000.00 each	3,642,000.00
Total	2,392	7,232,000.00

FORGING OF MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING (MOU) WITH DEPED





Photo 1: Initial Meeting with the Department of Education RIX Regional Director, Dr. Isabelita M. Borres, CESO III with Ms. Susan S. Bellido (Regional ALS Focal Person) and Dr. Eugenio B. Penales (Chief, Curriculum and Learning Management Division) with ARD Ruth C. Perantes (Regional Officer-In-Charge) and ADAIV Audry Mae C. Eburan (CSU Analyst) of DOJ-PPA RIX for the forging of Memorandum of Understanding for clients' rehabilitation and intervention services.

PERFORMANCE HIGHLIGHTS OF CRD ACCOMPLISHMENTS JANUARY TO DECEMBER 2021

DATE	AGENCY TAPPED	PROGRAM OUTSOURCED	ESTIMATED AMOUNT OUTSOURCED	IMPLEMENTATION TIMELINE
10/11/21 to 11/15/21	DEPARTMENT OF LABOR and EMPLOYMENT-XI	Provision of sixty (60) Government Intern Program (GIP) personnel with MOA	P7,900/month x 12 months x 60/pax is P7,688,000.00	January 2022-December 2022
		Provision of Two Thousand (2000) slots for TUPAD Program with Project Proposal and MOA	P396.00 x 10 days x 2000 is P7,920,000.00	
		Conference meeting of RD Benjamin Cuzay, Jr. and RD Randolph Penroy with division chiefs		
11/18/21	DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL WELFARE AND SERVICES-XI	Grant of Php3,000 cash assistance thru AICS for 2000 clients	P3000.00 x 2000/pax is P6,000,000.00	December 2021-December 2022
		Grant of Php300,000 thru Sustainable Livelihood Program for clients' association	Php300,000.00 x 12 clients' association is P3,600,000.00	January 2022-December 2022
		Conference meeting of RD Benjamin Cuzay, Jr. and RD Ronald Ryan Cui with division chiefs		

SUPPORT SERVICES

Information and Communications Technology Projects



Technologies that seemed impossible years ago are a reality today. Business Process Automation (BPA) uses these technologies to increase efficiency and improve the bottom line. Likewise, the BPA is the use of technology to replace human intervention on high volume, repetitive, and time-consuming tasks. Automation business means shifting the responsibility for completing activities from humans to machines. As a result, manual efforts are minimized. This makes business processes more cost-efficient, error-proof, and consistent. In addition, the time saved can be used on more valuable business tasks. In the BPA the following information systems were developed by the Agency:

1. Investigation and Supervision of Parole and Executive Clemency Information System (ISPECIS)
2. Probation Information System (PIS)
3. Parole and Probation Case Management Information System (PPCMIS)
4. Performance Monitoring and Evaluation Information System (PMEIS)
5. Human Resource Management and Development Information System (HRMDIS)

The ISPECIS, PIS, PPCMIS, PMEIS and HRMDIS were deployed and rolled-out. The PPCMIS is in its full implementation wherein all the field offices utilize this system to generate caseload reports. The development of the PMEIS is ongoing.

The objectives for the development of these information systems are:

1. Improve public service delivery by reducing time in report preparation on investigation and supervision;
2. Monitor the status of investigation and supervision cases handled by the field officer/field office;
3. Provide information relative to the three-pronged approach (Therapeutic Community, Restorative Justice and Volunteerism) of rehabilitation and treatment of the clients;
4. Track down the rehabilitation programs participated in by the clients;
5. Maintain the case history of the clients thus reducing time in retrieving their case records;
6. Generate comprehensive statistics needed by policy formulators and decision makers in conducting a thorough systematic analysis of the problems and needs of the Agency and its relationships with other sectors of the society; and
7. Provide timely feedback to appropriate authorities on problems in the program implementation so that corrective actions are instituted on time.



Support Services

Anti-Drug Abuse Program

Under the 2021 General Appropriations Act, the Agency was provided with funds for the purchase of drug test kits for the implementation of its program under the Philippine Anti-Drug Strategies (PADS), amounting to Php22,812,000.00. The drug test kits were distributed to all field offices in order to monitor the use of illegal drugs of clients especially those convicted of violation of RA 9165 and/or related cases. Accordingly, a total of 43,873 probationers, parolees, pardonees and first-time minor drug offenders were subjected to random drug testing.

Further, the Dangerous Drugs Board, through its Institutional Support for the implementation of (PADS) Grants donated Php251,250.00 for the implementation of a Drug Free Workplace Program pursuant to Article V of Republic Act No. 9165, otherwise known as the Comprehensive Dangerous Drugs Act of 2002, and its Implementing Rules and Regulations.

The Agency conducted an agency-wide random drug testing to officials and employees in accordance with its Drug-Free Workplace Policy and Program. A total 945 officials and employees were subjected to random drug testing conducted by DOH Accredited Drug Testing Centers/ the Anti-Drug Abuse Advisory Council of different cities/provinces. It is worth to mention that no one was found positive among the officials and employees subjected to drug testing.

The PPA is an active member of the Inter-Agencies on Anti-Drug (ICAD) for Justice Cluster and Rehabilitation and Reintegration Cluster. Periodic reports were submitted to both Clusters and for CY 2021, a total of 39,381 drug violators were referred through Probation and Parole and 5,074 voluntary surrenderers were assisted by our authorized representatives of DDB, 69,269 persons who use drug (PWUDs) were enrolled/included in rehabilitation and reintegration program/TCLP of the Agency and 19,619 completed the program, while 6,544 were successfully discharged from probation/parole supervision.

ANTI-DRUG ABUSE DATA

Regions	No. of Drug Violators referred through Probation and Parole	No. of Voluntary Surrenderers assisted by the Office	No. of Clients referred for Drug Test	No. of Employees subjected to Random Drug Testing
NCR	5550	0	1482	94
I	694	0	935	46
CAR	261	4	21	33
II	364	0	540	47
III	7218	124	8859	52
IV-A	9799	8	7587	73
IV-B	413	0	640	24
V	594	0	1813	56
VI	1031	333	2536	66
VII	5075	150	8767	70
VIII	462	4412	709	66
IX	1508	13	572	49
X	4100	2	645	30
XI	1087	28	6019	84
XII	709	0	437	23
XIII	516	0	2311	28
Central Office				104
TOTAL	39,381	5,074	43,873	945

Rehabilitation and Reintegration Program (RnR) Community-Based Treatment & Rehabilitation

Regions	Number of Persons who Use Drugs (PWUDs)		
	ENROLLED	COMPLETED	DISCHARGED
NCR	11,651	8162	2,837
I	1,103	85	90
CAR	1,311	16	52
II	236	32	7
III	10,583	1,259	1,169
IV-A	9,799	0	0
IV-B	5,584	0	0
V	3,540	568	136
VI	815	155	156
VII	5,728	1980	1,613
VIII	1,336	1258	58
IX	7,904	5553	137
X	3,765	90	50
XI	3,883	441	229
XII	492	0	0
XIII	1,529	0	10
TOTAL	69,259	19,619	6,544

Entire DOJ-PPA Now ISO Certified!

Another feather was added in the hat of the Parole and Probation Administration as it passed the third-party certification held in December 2021. Bureau Veritas revealed in its exit conference with the leaders of the DOJ-PPA that the Agency is deemed qualified to be certified under the ISO 9001:2015.

The previous certification only included eight (8) sites: the Central Office as the main site, the DOJ-PPA National Capital Regional Office with its field offices (Manila PPO Nos. 3, 5 & 6), and DOJ-PPA Regional Office No. VII with its field offices (Lapu-Lapu City PPO and Cebu City PPO No. 1).

With the expansion of the QMS, thanks to the technical assistance of the Development Academy of the Philippines, all the regional offices with one field office each has been included. The preparation has been thorough and tedious but it all worked out for the good of the Agency.

With the expanded ISO certification, our clients, other customers, and the public, in general, can be assured of quality service wherever they go.

Impact Study on the DOJ-PPA Rehabilitation Programs and Services

The Parole and Probation Administration (PPA) has engaged the Center for Leadership, Citizenship and Democracy (CLCD) of the National College of Public Administration and Governance, University of the Philippines (UPNCPAG) to conduct an impact assessment of its three-pronged rehabilitation program approach designed to prevent crime and reintegrate criminal offenders to the community.

The study will assess the effectiveness of the program and its impact on the lives of PPA's clients, namely, the probationers, parolees, pardonees, and first-time minor drug offenders, while also evaluating the agency's operations in implementing the rehabilitation program in terms of their compliance with manuals and established procedures. The output of the study is expected to contribute in strengthening the capacity of the PPA in pursuing its organizational outcomes of promoting the correction and rehabilitation of offenders by providing them with individualized treatment; providing an opportunity for the reformation of pertinent offenders which may be less probable if they are to serve a prison sentence; and preventing the commission of offenses.

The study started in 1st quarter of 2021 and will end with the submission of final report in June 2022.



Support Services


Revised Guidelines on DOJ-PPA Program on Awards and Incentives for Service Excellence (DOJ-PPA PRAISE)

To encourage and recognize creativity, innovativeness, efficiency, productivity, integrity, courage and selflessness in the public service, the DOJ-PPA adopts the Program on Awards and Incentives for Service Excellence (PRAISE) pursuant to the provisions of Rule X of the Omnibus Rules implementing Book V of Executive Order No. 292 and in relation to the Revised Policies on Employee Suggestions and Incentive Awards System (ESIAS) under CSC MC No. 01, S. 2001.

The revised DOJ-PPA PRAISE is aligned with the maturity level 3 of the Program to Institutionalize Meritocracy and Excellence in Human Resource Management (PRIME-HRM) and is intended to recognize and reward DOJ-PPA employees who made a great impact not only to the agency but also to the community. The DOJ-PPA PRAISE recognizes and rewards employees' achievements based on the competencies they have demonstrated excellently in the performance of their duties and functions exemplary ethical behavior or heroic acts they have exhibited.

The revised PRAISE Guidelines was approved by the Civil Service Commission (CSC) in September 2020 and this paved the way for the successful conduct of the 2021 PRAISE Awarding ceremony as the highlight of the Agency's anniversary celebration, with CSC Chairperson Alicia dela Rosa-Bala as the Guest of Honor and Speaker.

DOJ-PPA PRAISE Committee, under the chairmanship of ARD Janette Padua is now moving towards the journey of accomplishing the requirements for Prime HRM and continually strategizes to enhance the human resources management competencies and empower the committed workforce of the Parole and Probation Administration.



Support Services

DOJ-PPA Partners with UNODC and UNAFEI for the Effective Probation and Parole intervention and Supervision

The journey started in February 2020 when the initial training of the decision-makers of the Administration was held in the hope of crafting the enhanced parole and probation supervision system. It was a proposal conceptualized by Dr. Raymund Narag of the Southern Illinois University, Dr. Clarke Jones of the Australian National University, and Dr. Tyrone Mattison, criminal justice professional.

The United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime together with the United Nations Asia and Far East Institute (UNAFEI) inked the agreement with the Parole and Probation Administration for the technical and material support in the enhancement of the supervision system of the Agency.

The initial team was composed of all the regional directors, assistant regional directors, and division chiefs. Included in the team are OIC Administrator Julito M. Diray and OIC Deputy Administrator Allan B. Alcala, who provided inspiration and drive to the participants in the program.

In 2021, right after the conclusion of the initial training of the participants, four (4) technical working groups were created, namely: the TWG on Classification and Risk Assessment, the TWG on Supervision, the TWG on Programming, and the TWG on Documentation and Records Management.


The TWG on Classification and Risk Assessment has been tasked to create a new risk assessment tool to determine the case classification of the clients. The tool is based on the risk-responsivity model with the incorporation of the Big 8 Factors. The Classification and Risk Assessment Tool (CARAT) crafted by the team will hopefully evaluate the criminogenic risk factors of the clients.

The TWG on Supervision is in charge of creating new supervision mechanisms which take into consideration the intensity, mode, and length of the supervision period. The new supervision strategy aims to incorporate a goal-based supervision approach where clients who complete all requirements can have their supervision period modified.

The TWG on Programming was given the gargantuan task of creating new off-the-shelf programs that specifically address the identified needs of the clients based on the CARAT. The programs will center on Cognitive Behavior Therapy, programs for drugs, alcohol, sex, and violent offenders, and programs addressing criminogenic risks on peers, families, and communities.

The Documentation and Records Management TWG is tasked to develop new forms congruent to the existing management information systems of the Agency. The documentation team hopes to capture all data regarding the client for faster monitoring in a more efficient way.

By 2022, the pilot-testing of the new program will begin in the hopes of improving the quality of life of our clients.



PRIORITY PROGRAMS FOR FY 2022

1. Enhancement of Rehabilitation Programs and Services

The Agency is adopting an evidence-based policy formulation in enhancing the rehabilitation programs and services of the Agency for the year 2022. There are two major activities conducted related to this, to wit:

- a. Conduct of Impact Assessment of the Three-Pronged Rehabilitation Program.
- b. Pilot testing of the Effective Probation and Parole Intervention and Supervision System

2. Implementation of Philippine-Anti Illegal Drugs Strategies (PADS)

The Department of Budget and Management provided the Agency a budget amounting to Php 1,840,000.00 for the programs and activities in relation to PADS, namely:

- a. Design and Production of PPA Drug Awareness Information, and Communication Materials
- b. Formulation of Employee Manual
- c. Support to the International Day Against Drug Abuse and Illicit Trafficking
- d. Capability Building in Drug Demand Related Strategies

3. Information and Communication Technology

- a. Enhancement of Information System (EIS)
- b. Automation of Caseload Management Information System



STRATEGIC DIRECTION AND KEY ACTION PLANS FOR CY 2022

For CY 2022, PPA adheres to its Strategic Development Plan for CYs 2019-2024 with seven (7) Strategic Objectives (SO).

Strategic Objective 1: Upgrade community-based rehabilitation and reintegration of Offenders

- 1.1 Devise risk and classification assessment tool to come with a supervision plan responsive to the needs of clients;
- 1.2 Formulate policies that will enhance the rehabilitation programs and services to clients based on the results of the impact study on the rehabilitation programs and services of the PPA conducted by the University of the Philippines;
- 1.3 Formulate policies towards resiliency to a new normal in order to sustain the Agency's operation and to meet the needs and expectations of clients and stakeholders

Strategic Objective 2: Ensure sustainability of organization

- 2.1 Formulate Contingency Plan
- 2.2 90% utilization rate of the existing Information System
- 2.3 Automation of performance monitoring and evaluation system
- 2.4 100% compliance rate for the Freedom of Information (FOI) procedure/guideline

Strategic Objective 3: Enhance operational efficiency and effectiveness

- 3.1 Acquire a nationwide ISO 9001:2015 Certification
- 3.2 Increase in customer satisfaction rating at all levels of the Agency
- 3.3 Increase in the number of PPA offices gaining recognition CSC Level II accreditation.
- 3.4 Implement 5S (Good Housekeeping) in regional and field offices

Strategic Objective 4: Improve public awareness on parole and probation services

- 4.1 Increase in application for VPA program
- 4.2 Active participation in inter-agency programs/activities for possible benchmarking of PPA programs and services
- 4.3 Standardized presentation of Probation and Parole Systems in the conduct of formal informal drive

Strategic Objective 5 : Promote employees welfare

- 5.1 Develop competency of personnel based on needs/gaps
- 5.2 Develop/implement an agency-wide Wellness Program
- 5.3 Create a committee for the Magna Carta of PPOs

Strategic Objective 6: Strengthen linkages and collaboration with key stakeholders

- 6.1 Increase in the number of funding and/or logistic support
- 6.2 Partnership with more stakeholders

Strategic Objective 7: Intensify anti-corruption and transparency initiatives

- 7.1 Resolve adverse findings received from oversight agencies
- 7.2 Compliance with the requirements of oversight agencies/committees
- 7.3 100% budget utilization rate
- 7.4 100% of client feedback acted upon within the required period



PROBATION CASELOAD SUMMARY

CYs 1978 - 2021

YEAR	INVESTIGATION	SUPERVISION
1978-1999	206,509	173,732
2000	18,126 *	17,169 *
2001	17,486 *	14,507 *
2002	15,309 *	15,438 *
2003	9,909 *	10,962 *
2004	8,466	8,891
2005	8,713	8,612
2006	9,017	9,314
2007	9,487	9,801
2008	9,497	9,725
2009	9,045	9,393
2010	6,453	6,785
2011	8,208	8,421
2012	8,202	7,696
2013	8,731	7,248
2014	6,813	8,616
2015	10,629	9,073
2016	10,508	10,142
2017	10,367	8,415
2018	36,366	19,496
2019	78,103	40,020
2020	120,923	45,440
2021	60,062	46,894
TOTAL	686,929	505,790

* Adjusted Data by Case Management & Records Division

PAROLE / PARDON CASELOAD SUMMARY

CYs 1989 - 2021

YEAR	INVESTIGATION	SUPERVISION
1989-1999	13,436	34,846
2000	1,004 *	2,721 *
2001	692 *	3,856 *
2002	1,496 *	3,260 *
2003	1,678 *	2,645 *
2004	1,362	2,729
2005	1,135	2,873
2006	1,254	2,659
2007	1,272	2,507
2008	1,161	1,329
2009	1,073	5,655
2010	1,144	2,802
2011	4,595	2,551
2012	1,913	2,409
2013	3,615	2,188
2014	3,974	2,178
2015	2,049	1,059
2016	5,055	1,864
2017	3,244	3,311
2018	3,379	2,251
2019	4,911	2,657
2020	5,207	2,802
2021	2,058	3,015
TOTAL	66,707	94,767

* Adjusted Data by Case Management & Records Division



PROBATION INVESTIGATION CASELOAD

CYs 1978 – 2021

Status Year	Total Investigation Cases During the Year	PSIRs/Manifestations Submitted to the Court		Active Investigation	
		Number	%	Number	%
1978-1999	243,409	202,130	83.04	38,404	15.78
2000	20,813 *	18,580	89.27 *	2,174	10.45 *
2001	19,597 *	17,089	87.20 *	2,416	12.33 *
2002	17,575 *	16,250	92.46 *	1,212	6.90 *
2003	11,069 *	10,103	91.27 *	823	7.44 *
2004	9,207	8,506	92.39	652	7.08
2005	9,331	8,946	95.87	375	4.02
2006	9,429	8,743	92.72	672	7.13
2007	10,506	9,962	94.82	533	5.07
2008	9,914	9,066	91.45	845	8.52
2009	9,624	8,760	91.02	857	8.90
2010	7,034	6,404	91.04	624	8.87
2011	8,634	8,116	94.00	512	5.93
2012	8,810	8,037	91.23	758	8.60
2013	9,298	8,906	95.78	385	4.14
2014	8,190	8,054	98.34	135	1.65
2015	10,795	10,638	98.55	157	1.45
2016	10,710	10,521	98.24	189	1.76
2017	10,601	10,379	97.91	219	2.07
2018	38,384	29,472	76.78	8,883	23.14
2019	91,126	63,765	69.97	27,293	29.95
2020	148,216	91,660	61.84	56,357	38.02
2021	104,608	65,098	62.23	39,274	37.54
TOTAL/AVERAGE		639,185	88.58		

* Adjusted Data by Case Management & Records Division



DISPOSITION OF POST-SENTENCE INVESTIGATION SUBMITTED TO COURT
CYs 1978 – 2021

Status Year	Total Cases for Court Disposition During the Year	Granted Petitions		Denied Petitions		Disqualified/ Dismissed due to death		Withdrawn Petitions		Others		Petitions Pending Court Dispositions	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1978-1999	274,760	165,051	60.07	19,622	7.14	2,623	0.95	4,542	1.65	4,613	1.68	79,698	29.01
2000	22,440	15,031	66.98	2,159	9.62	33 *	0.15 *	353	1.57	190 *	0.85 *	9,674	43.11
2001	26,694	13,140	49.22	2,124	7.96	46 *	0.17 *	481	1.80	210 *	0.79 *	10,693	40.06
2002	27,049	13,252	48.99	2,091	7.73	46 *	0.17 *	591	2.18	215 *	0.79 *	10,854	40.13
2003	20,477	9,108	44.48	1,421	6.94	30 *	0.15 *	267	1.30	154 *	0.75 *	9,497	46.38
2004	17,582	7,495	42.63	1,002	5.70	45	0.26	234	1.33	153	0.87	8,653	49.22
2005	17,497	7,463	42.65	905	5.17	33	0.19	188	1.07	48	0.27	8,829	50.46
2006	17,648	8,157	46.22	853	4.83	35	0.20	292	1.65	46	0.26	8,265	46.83
2007	18,290	8,640	47.24	921	5.04	31	0.17	183	1.00	27	0.15	8,432	46.10
2008	17,310	6,525	37.69	721	4.17	10	0.06	158	0.91	25	0.14	9,819	56.72
2009	17,086	5,296	31.00	490	2.87	12	0.07	128	0.75	18	0.11	10,232	59.89
2010	13,667	5,293	38.73	524	3.83	16	0.12	88	0.64	27 *	0.20 *	7,697	56.32
2011	15,354	7,222	47.04	769	5.01	40	0.26	186	1.21	25	0.16	7,082	46.12
2012	15,197	6,294	41.42	563	3.70	34	0.22	121	0.80	39	0.26	8,142	53.58
2013	16,390	7,688	46.91	667	4.07	18	0.11	56	0.34	19	0.12	7,653	46.69
2014	15,140	4,240	28.01	421	2.78	9	0.06	54	0.36	15	0.10	10,398	68.68
2015	19,040	8,574	45.03	867	4.55	16	0.08	145	0.76	40	0.21	9,369	49.21
2016	18,715	8,642	46.18	981	5.24	4	0.02	247	1.32	31	0.17	8,783	46.93
2017	17,903	8,511	47.54	940	5.25	24	0.13	244	1.36	31	0.17	8,107	45.28
2018	36,322	17,505	48.19	1,238	3.41	31	0.09	883	2.43	53	0.15	16,534	45.52
2019	75,847	18,000	23.73	1,098	1.45	38	0.05	1,562	2.06	42	0.06	55,046	72.58
2020	111,249	56,512	50.80	4,699	4.22	146	0.13	7,540	6.78	209	0.19	41,837	37.61
2021	100,733	18,751	18.61	1,448	1.44	82	0.08	2,950	2.93	221	0.22	77,131	76.57
TOTAL		426,390	43.45	46,524	4.87	3,402	0.17	21,493	1.58	6,451	0.38		

* Adjusted Data by Case Management & Records Division



PROBATION SUPERVISION CASELOAD
CYs 1978 – 2021

Status Year	Total Supervision Cases Handled During the Year	Cases Successfully Terminated		Cases Revoked		Died/Others	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1978-1999	532,917	109,577	20.56	10,383	1.95	8,286	1.55
2000	52,671 *	8,495 *	16.13 *	1,454	2.76 *	2,104 *	3.99 *
2001	54,712 *	10,741	19.63 *	1,724	3.15 *	1,691 *	3.09 *
2002	55,475 *	10,449	18.84 *	1,575	2.84 *	2,249 *	4.05 *
2003	51,420 *	11,630	22.62 *	1,763	3.43 *	1,579 *	3.07 *
2004	44,903	11,255	25.07	1,563	3.48	1,359	3.03
2005	38,798	9,018	23.24	905	2.33	1,095	2.82
2006	37,022	8,280	22.37	677	1.83	1,078	2.91
2007	36,713	9,474	25.81	778	2.12	1,166	3.18
2008	34,796	6,022	17.31	422	1.21	956	2.75
2009	34,050	4,324	12.70	316	0.93	2,076	6.10
2010	29,523	4,737	16.05	381	1.29	646	2.19
2011	30,385	6,082	20.02	546	1.80	830	2.73
2012	29,768	5,431	18.24	490	1.65	1,328	4.46
2013	29,236	6,476	22.15	572	1.96	1,392	4.76
2014	30,671	6,058	19.75	430	1.40	712	2.32
2015	31,582	7,310	23.15	497	1.57	1,026	3.25
2016	33,013	7,816	23.68	574	1.74	933	2.83
2017	31,088	6,983	22.46	620	1.99	1,093	3.52
2018	42,637	7,763	18.21	467	1.10	2,004	4.70
2019	71,669	3,773	5.26	464	0.65	1,193	1.66
2020	111,679	16,189	14.50	1,093	0.98	5,458	4.89
2021	124,953	7,859	6.29	579	0.46	2,408	1.93
TOTAL/AVERAGE			18.87	28,273	1.85	42,662	3.29

* Adjusted Data by Case Management & Records Division

PRE-PAROLE/EXECUTIVE CLEMENCY INVESTIGATION CASELOAD
CYs 1991 – 2021

Status Year	Total Investigation Cases During the Year	Recommended for Parole		Recommended for Commutation of Sentence		Recommended for Conditional Pardon		Recommended for Absolute Pardon		Other Dropped Cases		Active Investigation	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1991-1999	14,626	8,280	56.61	1,923	13.15	1,511	10.33	242	1.65	974	6.66	1,725	11.79
2000	1,099 *	625	56.87 *	149	13.56 *	85	7.73 *	7	0.64	33 *	3.00 *	200	18.20 *
2001	868 *	523	60.25 *	59	6.80 *	38	4.38 *	4	0.46	71 *	8.18 *	173	19.93 *
2002	1,640	1,040	63.41	268	16.34	126	7.68	5	0.30	58 *	3.54 *	160	9.76
2003	1,812	1,072	59.16	191	10.54	85	4.69	4	0.22	57 *	3.15 *	408	22.52
2004	1,674	1,154	68.94	69	4.12	34	2.03	3	0.18	135	8.06	284	16.97
2005	1,395	1,022	73.26	85	6.09	43	3.08	0	0.00	91	6.52	157	11.25
2006	1,393	845	60.66	307	22.04	33	2.37	7	0.50	115	8.26	86	6.17
2007	1,357	894	65.88	346	25.50	32	2.36	8	0.59	42	3.10	35	2.58
2008	1,213	796	65.62	255	21.02	27	2.23	6	0.49	32	2.64	101	8.33
2009	1,140	715	62.72	283	24.82	23	2.02	3	0.26	38	3.33	78	6.84
2010	1,207	863	71.50	258	21.38	5	0.41	2	0.17	16	1.33	63	5.22
2011	4,621	1,832	39.65	1,368	29.60	95	2.06	12	0.26	1,288	27.87	26	0.56
2012	2,115	495	23.40	1,060	50.12	60	2.84	5	0.24	293	13.85	202	9.55
2013	3,979	1,340	33.68	1,912	48.05	44	1.11	1	0.03	318	7.99	364	9.15
2014	5,164	2,219	42.97	1,417	27.44	16	0.31	8	0.15	314	6.08	1,190	23.04
2015	2,123	893	42.06	702	33.07	17	0.80	16	0.75	421	19.83	74	3.49
2016	5,603	3,280	58.54	728	12.99	366	6.53	0	0.00	681	12.15	548	9.78
2017	3,371	2,337	69.33	318	9.43	13	0.39	2	0.06	574	17.03	127	3.77
2018	3,473	1,425	41.03	1,028	29.60	13	0.37	9	0.26	904	26.03	94	2.71
2019	5,543	4,432	79.96	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	479	8.64	632	11.40
2020	5,839	3,815	65.34	1,042	17.85	1	0.02	4	0.07	651	11.15	326	5.58
2021	2,406	1,371	56.98	612	25.44	0	0.00	1	0.04	357	14.84	65	2.70
TOTAL/AVERAGE		41,268	57.30	14,380	20.39	2,667	2.77	349	0.32	7,942	9.71	7,118	9.62

* Adjusted Data by Case Management & Records Division



PAROLE SUPERVISION CASELOAD
CYs 1989 – 2021

Status	Total Supervision Cases Handled During the Year	Final Release & Discharge		Arrested Recommitted		Died		Other Dropped Cases	
Year		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1989-1999	109,753	9,199	8.38	2,218	2.02	733	0.67	1,951	1.78
2000	15,990 *	1,435	8.97 *	143	0.89 *	77 *	0.48 *	470 *	2.94 *
2001	17,298 *	1,886	10.90 *	406	2.35 *	91 *	0.53 *	507 *	2.93 *
2002	17,536 *	1,950	11.12 *	296	1.69 *	113 *	0.64 *	553 *	3.15 *
2003	17,049 *	1,893	11.10 *	331	1.94 *	75 *	0.44 *	458 *	2.69 *
2004	16,841	2,118	12.58	557	3.31	118	0.70	644	3.82
2005	16,239	2,282	14.05	500	3.08	148	0.91	438	2.70
2006	15,617	2,033	13.02	504	3.23	138	0.88	332	2.13
2007	15,015	2,419	16.11	312	2.08	150	1.00	386	2.57
2008	13,762	1,129	8.20	250	1.82	105	0.76	267	1.94
2009	17,108	1,053	6.16	139	0.81	69	0.40	159	0.93
2010	13,776	1,322	9.60	215	1.56	112	0.81	236	1.71
2011	13,662	1,560	11.42	245	1.79	129	0.94	301	2.20
2012	13,821	1,267	9.17	209	1.51	108	0.78	383	2.77
2013	13,758	853	6.20	172	1.25	55	0.40	130	0.94
2014	13,806	1,263	9.15	406	2.94	126	0.91	132	0.96
2015	10,928	1,681	15.38	398	3.64	123	1.13	231	2.11
2016	10,566	1,712	16.20	288	2.73	149	1.41	161	1.52
2017	11,916	1,765	14.81	428	3.59	118	0.99	217	1.82
2018	10,422	969	9.30	214	2.05	87	0.83	206	1.98
2019	11,539	284	2.46	158	1.37	28	0.24	52	0.45
2020	14,340	1,249	8.71	274	1.91	125	0.87	133	0.93
2021	13,569	289	2.13	50	0.37	12	0.09	13	0.10
TOTAL		41,611	10.22	8,713	2.08	2,989	0.73	8,360	1.96

PARDON SUPERVISION CASELOAD
CYs 1989 – 2021

Status	Total Supervision Cases Handled During the Year	Final Release & Discharge		Arrested Recommitted		Died		Other Dropped Cases	
Year		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1990-1999	23,942	1,953	8.16	553	2.31	191	0.80	316	1.32
2000	2,285 *	149	6.52 *	14	0.61 *	11 *	0.48 *	67 *	2.93 *
2001	2,230 *	166	7.44 *	42	1.88 *	6 *	0.27	42 *	1.88 *
2002	2,057 *	234	11.38 *	40	1.94 *	16 *	0.78 *	50 *	2.43 *
2003	1,705 *	120	7.04 *	30	1.76	9 *	0.53 *	18 *	1.06 *
2004	1,670	135	8.08	33	1.98	18	1.08	42	2.51
2005	1,424	151	10.60	24	1.69	20	1.40	20	1.40
2006	1,143	147	12.86	9	0.79	13	1.14	7	0.61
2007	998	142	14.23	13	1.30	11	1.10	12	1.20
2008	852	49	5.75	4	0.47	13	1.53	8	0.94
2009	1,056	39	3.69	4	0.38	6	0.57	6	0.57
2010	627	46	7.34	8	1.28	9	1.44	2	0.32
2011	551	42	7.62	0	0.00	10	1.81	1	0.18
2012	516	23	4.46	9	1.74	4	0.78	31	6.01
2013	408	18	4.41	2	0.49	3	0.74	1	0.25
2014	362	20	5.52	12	3.31	1	0.28	0	0.00
2015	313	21	6.71	6	1.92	5	1.60	3	0.96
2016	217	20	9.22	2	0.92	8	3.69	3	1.38
2017	190	13	6.84	1	0.53	4	2.11	4	2.11
2018	174	5	2.87	0	0.00	4	2.30	0	0.00
2019	163	3	1.84	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
2020	164	5	3.05	0	0.00	1	0.61	0	0.00
2021	153	1	0.65	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
TOTAL		3,502	6.80	806	1.10	363	1.09	633	1.22

* Adjusted Data by Case Management & Records Division



PROBATIONERS'/PAROLEES' INDEMNIFICATION OF CIVIL LIABILITY

Year	Total Numbers of Probationers/ Parolees Who Paid	Amount of Civil Liability	Amount Collected	%	Balance (If any)	%
1978-1999	41,562	1,838,089,293.94	62,846,918.29	3.42	1,775,242,375.65	96.58
2000	1,586	108,700,024.88	7,030,408.54	6.47	101,669,616.34	93.53
2001	3,468	269,103,965.00	5,964,719.00	2.22	263,139,246.00	97.78
2002	632	1,147,424,899.00	6,518,085.00	0.57	1,140,906,814.00	99.43
2003	955	717,436,603.00	19,636,761.00	2.74	697,799,842.00	97.26
2004	1,335	889,262,789.00	11,412,278.00	1.28	877,850,511.00	98.72
2005	1,353	657,050,862.00	12,771,110.00	1.94	644,279,752.00	98.06
2006	1,660	234,366,662.92	11,739,834.95	5.01	222,626,827.97	94.99
2007	1,543	125,291,933.96	19,119,994.63	15.26	106,171,939.33	84.74
2008	1,278	182,132,538.00	5,657,167.76	3.11	176,475,370.24	96.89
2009	1,120	186,268,126.00	4,952,120.00	2.66	181,316,006.00	97.34
2010	1,186	44,311,564.12	25,324,050.63	57.15	18,987,513.49	42.85
2011	1,285	8,755,351.63	6,741,846.47	77.00	2,013,505.16	23.00
2012	1,115	12,345,260.15	11,201,548.65	90.74	1,143,711.50	9.26
2013	1,101	11,669,116.00	11,078,829.24	94.94	590,286.76	5.06
2014	1,290	12,411,312.13	12,238,380.13	98.61	172,932.00	1.39
2015	1,465	17,075,847.11	15,460,301.11	90.54	1,615,546.00	9.46
2016	1,355	18,571,379.27	16,669,042.16	89.76	1,902,337.11	10.24
2017	1,404	590,067,861.29	24,221,813.15	4.10	565,846,048.14	95.90
2018	1,945	2,994,699,616.27	31,839,428.95	1.06	2,962,860,187.32	98.94
2019	1,736	2,946,821,188.89	138,638,776.68	4.70	2,808,182,412.21	95.30
2020	976	698,070,379.03	32,221,542.77	4.62	665,848,836.26	95.38
2021	1,142	629,058,722.67	21,758,740.10	3.46	607,299,982.57	96.54
TOTAL		14,338,985,296.26	515,043,697.21	3.59	13,823,941,599.05	96.41



GOVERNMENT SAVINGS THROUGH PROBATION IN TERMS OF PRISONERS' MAINTENANCE

Calendar Year	Prisoners Daily Per Capita Maintenance *	No. Prisoners/ Probationers	Annual Maintenance cost of Prisoners	Total Amount of Expenses of the PPA	Estimated Savings
1978-1999		662,531	4,488,082,756.10	2,652,052,818.75	1,836,029,937.35
2000	30.00	68,454	749,571,300.00	357,500,768.80	392,070,531.20
2001	30.00	72,036	788,794,200.00	356,918,866.62	431,875,333.38
2002	30.00	72,309	791,783,550.00	375,992,402.47	415,791,147.53
2003	30.00	68,176	746,527,200.00	366,955,763.38	379,571,436.62
2004	35.00	63,414	810,113,850.00	373,252,612.00	436,861,238.00
2005	35.00	56,461	721,289,275.00	367,105,270.32	354,184,004.68
2006	40.00	53,782	785,217,200.00	379,551,010.15	405,666,189.85
2007	40.00	52,735	769,931,000.00	404,893,151.52	365,037,848.48
2008	40.00	49,432	721,707,200.00	430,825,142.55	290,882,057.45
2009	50.00	52,245	953,471,250.00	452,324,083.70	501,147,166.30
2010	50.00	43,960	802,270,000.00	495,769,514.73	306,500,485.27
2011	50.00	44,598	813,913,500.00	553,428,328.50	260,485,171.50
2012	50.00	44,105	807,121,500.00	576,781,427.21	230,340,072.79
2013	50.00	43,402	792,086,500.00	595,855,394.21	196,231,105.79
2014	50.00	44,839	818,311,750.00	621,898,121.95	196,413,628.05
2015	50.00	42,823	781,519,750.00	673,700,399.56	107,819,350.44
2016	60.00	43,796	959,132,400.00	829,455,588.54	129,676,811.46
2017	60.00	43,194	945,948,600.00	885,564,594.58	60,384,005.42
2018	70.00	53,233	1,165,802,700.00	945,936,104.00	219,866,596.00
2019	70.00	83,371	1,825,824,900.00	971,945,392.89	853,879,507.11
2020	70.00	126,183	3,223,975,650.00	927,896,548.09	2,296,079,101.91
2021	70.00	138,675	3,543,146,250.00	1,061,590,944.05	2,481,555,305.95
TOTAL			28,805,542,281.10	15,657,194,248.57	13,148,348,032.53

* Source of Data: Bureau of Corrections 2018 Budget

Includes prisoner's daily subsistences and medical allowance of Php 60.00 and Php 10.00 respectively

** Since 1991 includes parolees and pardonees (per Executive Order 292)



REGIONAL PROBATION INVESTIGATION CASELOAD IN 2021

Status Region	New Court Referrals	Investigation Cases Carried Over from 2020	Total Investigation Cases During the Year	Investigation Referrals Acted upon					Other Dropped Cases			Total Active Investigation Cases at the end of 2021
				PSIR Submitted		Manifestation	Transferred to other PPO	Total	Recall	Warrant of Arrest	Total	
				Denial								
				Grant	Denial							
CAR	522	161	683	556	5	22	0	583	0	0	0	100
I	1,996	603	2,599	1,945	98	184	0	2,227	0	0	0	372
II	1,019	165	1,184	998	18	36	0	1,052	0	0	0	132
III	7,191	4,592	11,783	7,003	62	723	3	7,791	43	2	45	3,947
IV-A	12,307	9,518	21,825	11,044	56	1,345	24	12,469	93	1	94	9,262
IV-B	978	445	1,423	1,071	19	76	5	1,171	2	0	2	250
V	2,532	458	2,990	2,518	21	121	0	2,660	1	1	2	328
VI	2,709	1,441	4,150	2,492	76	211	2	2,781	0	3	3	1,366
VII	9,300	10,328	19,628	8,972	120	469	0	9,561	0	0	0	10,067
VIII	1,236	123	1,359	1,182	38	56	0	1,276	0	0	0	83
IX	3,009	3,451	6,460	3,159	58	147	22	3,386	62	0	62	3,012
X	2,330	1,739	4,069	2,823	33	350	2	3,208	3	0	3	858
XI	2,917	243	3,160	2,814	51	219	29	3,113	5	0	5	42
XII	2,426	2,745	5,171	2,112	0	26	0	2,138	14	0	14	3,019
CARAGA	1,213	51	1,264	1,116	16	90	0	1,222	3	0	3	39
NCR	8,377	8,483	16,860	7,599	167	2,692	2	10,460	2	1	3	6,397
TOTAL	60,062	44,546	104,608	57,404	838	6,767	89	65,098	228	8	236	39,274

Source of Data: Case Management & Records Division

REGIONAL DISPOSITION OF CASES SUBMITTED TO COURT IN 2021

Status Regions	Cases Submitted to Court	Pending Court Disposition at the Start Of 2021	Total Number of Cases for Disposition	Disposed Investigation Cases					Pending Court disposition at the End of 2021		
				Granted	Denied	Dismissed due to Death	Withdrawn	Re-Investigation		Others	Total
CAR	583	168	751	200	13	0	13	0	0	226	525
I	2,227	1,328	3,555	774	15	3	39	0	1	832	2,723
II	1,052	428	1,480	539	8	0	20	1	2	570	910
III	7,788	2,773	10,561	2,096	187	5	203	10	18	2,519	8,042
IV-A	12,445	5,004	17,449	2,717	192	7	873	19	20	3,828	13,621
IV-B	1,166	499	1,665	476	37	1	26	1	1	542	1,123
V	2,660	1,235	3,895	1,202	59	8	22	5	3	1,299	2,596
VI	2,779	1,880	4,659	1,054	139	8	29	1	8	1,239	3,420
VII	9,561	3,455	13,016	2,423	100	2	160	2	3	2,690	10,326
VIII	1,276	717	1,993	716	34	1	23	1	7	782	1,211
IX	3,364	1,944	5,308	627	29	8	65	2	1	732	4,576
X	3,206	3,361	6,567	1,340	112	3	75	8	4	1,542	5,025
XI	3,084	2,248	5,332	1,380	114	1	65	5	14	1,579	3,753
XII	2,138	677	2,815	520	4	4	2	0	0	530	2,285
CARAGA	1,222	718	1,940	588	35	3	26	14	7	673	1,267
NCR	10,458	9,289	19,747	2,099	370	28	1,309	81	132	4,019	15,728
TOTAL	65,009	35,724	100,733	18,751	1,448	82	2,950	150	221	23,602	77,131

Source of data : Case Management & Records Division

REGIONAL PROBATION SUPERVISION CASELOAD IN 2021

Status Regions	New Supervision Cases Referred by the Court	Supervision Cases Carried Over from 2020	Total Supervision Cases Handled During the Year	Dropped Supervision Cases				Total Active Supervision Cases at the End of 2021
				Terminated	Revoked	Transferred To Other PPO	Other	
CAR	576	831	1,407	136	5	69	0	1,197
I	2,351	5,157	7,508	306	19	162	0	7,021
II	1,308	1,880	3,188	328	11	75	0	2,774
III	4,850	6,550	11,400	709	80	133	0	10,478
IV-A	6,590	9,886	16,476	834	107	174	2	15,359
IV-B	1,183	1,988	3,171	235	15	20	0	2,901
V	2,758	4,888	7,646	480	16	213	0	6,937
VI	2,411	4,393	6,804	719	32	76	0	5,977
VII	5,891	9,088	14,979	708	42	242	0	13,987
VIII	1,790	2,963	4,753	313	11	62	0	4,367
IX	1,645	2,968	4,613	194	14	72	0	4,333
X	3,421	7,022	10,443	683	75	133	0	9,552
XI	3,528	5,693	9,221	830	36	217	0	8,138
XII	1,407	2,735	4,142	166	7	15	0	3,954
CARAGA	1,471	2,528	3,999	264	52	52	0	3,631
NCR	5,714	9,489	15,203	954	57	691	0	13,501
TOTAL	46,894	78,059	124,953	7,859	579	2,406	2	114,107

Source of Data : Case Management & Records Division

REGIONAL PRE-PAROLE /EXECUTIVE CLEMENCY INVESTIGATION CASELOAD IN 2021

Status Regions	New PPI Received	Investigation Cases Carried Over from 2020	Total Investigation During the Year	Investigation Referrals Acted upon					Total	Total Active Investigation at the End 2021
				For Parole	For Commutation of Sentence	For Conditional Pardon	For Absolute Pardon	Transferred to Other PPO		
CAR	46	1	47	43	0	0	0	0	46	1
I	9	0	9	9	0	0	0	0	9	0
II	6	0	6	6	0	0	0	0	6	0
III	2	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	2	0
IV-A	3	2	5	3	0	0	0	0	3	2
IV-B	183	0	183	135	46	0	0	0	183	0
V	7	0	7	7	0	0	0	0	7	0
VI	11	3	14	10	0	0	0	0	11	3
VII	2	1	3	2	0	0	0	0	2	1
VIII	4	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	4	0
IX	27	13	40	36	0	0	0	0	38	2
X	7	1	8	6	0	0	0	0	6	2
XI	288	0	288	288	0	0	0	0	288	0
XII	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CARAGA	2	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	2	0
NCR	1,461	327	1,788	818	566	0	1	10	339	54
TOTAL	2,058	348	2,406	1,371	612	0	1	10	2,341	65

Source of Data : Case Management & Records Division



REGIONAL PAROLE SUPERVISION CASELOAD IN 2021

Status Regions	New Supervision Cases referred by the BPP	Supervision Cases Carried Over from 2020	Total Supervision Cases Handled During the Year	Dropped Supervision Cases					Others	Total	Active Supervision Cases at the End of 2021
				Final Release & Discharge	Arrested/ Recommitted	Died	Transferred To Other PPO				
CAR	56	159	215	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	213
	125	493	618	39	6	3	0	0	0	48	570
	79	280	359	14	0	0	0	0	0	14	345
	110	446	556	13	0	1	1	0	0	15	541
	239	792	1,031	24	1	1	1	0	0	27	1,004
	73	205	278	10	0	0	0	0	0	10	268
	166	513	679	9	0	0	1	0	0	10	669
	267	1,003	1,270	19	1	1	0	0	0	21	1,249
	157	708	865	18	2	0	1	0	0	21	844
	184	746	930	19	1	0	0	0	0	20	910
	98	369	467	12	4	1	0	0	0	17	450
	536	1,766	2,302	39	12	4	7	0	0	62	2,240
CARAGA NCR	250	795	1,045	40	10	1	1	0	0	52	993
	73	308	381	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	376
	93	337	430	13	0	0	0	0	0	13	417
	507	1,636	2,143	13	13	0	1	0	0	27	2,116
TOTAL	3,013	10,556	13,569	289	50	12	13	0	364	13,205	

Source of Data : Case Management & Records Division



REGIONAL PARDON SUPERVISION CASELOAD IN 2021

Status Regions	New Supervision Cases referred by the BPP	Supervision Cases Carried Over from 2020	Total Supervision Cases Handled During the Year	Dropped Supervision Cases				Active Supervision Cases at the End of 2021	
				Final Release & Discharge	Arrested/ Recommitted	Died	Transferred To Other PPO	Others	Total
CAR	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
I	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
II	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
III	0	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
IV-A	0	31	31	0	0	0	0	0	0
IV-B	0	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0
V	0	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0
VI	0	23	23	0	0	0	0	0	0
VII	0	12	12	0	0	0	0	0	0
VIII	0	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0
IX	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
X	0	16	16	0	0	0	0	0	0
XI	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
XII	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CARAGA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NCR	2	31	33	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	2	151	153	1	0	0	0	0	1
									152

Source of Data : Case Management & Records Division

Regional Rehabilitation Program and Services Conducted in 2021

CY 2021

REGIONS	THERAPEUTIC COMMUNITY				RESTORATIVE JUSTICE PROCESSES					TOTAL
	MTCS/ RA	TREE PLANTING	COOP/ SELF-HELP ASSOCIATIO	COMMUNITY AND OTHER RELATED	PRE-ENCOUNTER ACTS.	MEDIATION	CONFERENCING	CIRCLE OF SUPPORT	OTHER PROCESS	
I	224,195	4,107	7,802	1,103	15,456	55	13,870	459	0	267,047
II	79,218	2,315	1,743	1,379	190	155	1,169	98	21	86,288
III	123,804	19,541	8	7,354	1,607	2,499	184	304	592	155,893
IV - A	121,457	4,105	0	1,348	21	48	2,064	0	0	129,043
IV - B	68,617	521	2	815	221	239	210	14	5	70,644
V	70,814	1,478	126	1,433	128	40	180	57	4	74,260
VI	117,645	5,265	1,912	6,112	257	94	485	75	34	131,879
VII	431,991	5,508	4,435	2,781	11,624	120	14,108	3,564	823	474,954
VIII	128,379	16,878	902	7,693	787	133	857	385	97	156,111
IX	66,149	1,581	0	1,657	6,376	68	7,180	220	0	83,231
X	73,335	2,533	2,544	2,902	26	50	66	105	0	81,561
XI	96,288	19,365	16,001	11,285	8,725	212	8,796	662	0	161,334
XII	15,206	1,359	90	2,762	0	23	23	0	0	19,463
XIII	267,718	16,696	1,853	11,764	4,177	70	3,890	4,309	486	310,963
CAR	74,915	1,260	28	2,151	318	62	1,753	0	0	80,487
NCR	191,635	1,080	182	580	207	68	306	172	124	194,354
TOTAL	2,151,366	103,592	37,628	63,119	50,120	3,936	55,141	10,424	2,186	2,477,512



Regional Mobilization of VPAs in 2021

REGIONS	TOTAL NUMBER OF VPAs	TOTAL ACTIVE VPAs	% of VPAs Mobilized	No. of VPAs supervising clients	%	No. of VPAs acting as Resource Individuals	%	Acting as Both Supervising VPAs and Resource Individual (Head count)	%	Total number of clients supervised
I	302	290	96.03%	214	73.79%	207	71.38%	204	70.34%	1,942
II	274	274	100.00%	251	91.61%	103	37.59%	251	91.61%	988
III	429	396	92.31%	331	83.59%	45	11.36%	20	5.05%	2,423
IV - A	253	252	99.60%	54	21.43%	3	1.19%	192	76.19%	2,620
IV - B	163	154	94.48%	127	82.47%	78	50.65%	58	37.66%	1,070
V	235	218	92.77%	180	82.57%	101	46.33%	83	38.07%	675
VI	650	599	92.15%	515	85.98%	266	44.41%	411	68.61%	2,116
VII	663	663	100.00%	395	59.58%	115	17.35%	150	22.62%	1,933
VIII	637	617	96.86%	337	54.62%	16	2.59%	264	42.79%	1,618
IX	247	247	100.00%	29	11.74%	19	7.69%	199	80.57%	942
X	451	446	98.89%	402	90.13%	138	30.94%	86	19.28%	1,297
XI	755	751	99.47%	594	79.09%	416	55.39%	603	80.29%	5,039
XII	239	229	95.82%	116	50.66%	97	42.36%	112	48.91%	393
XIII	382	382	100.00%	293	76.70%	0	0.00%	89	23.30%	2,204
CAR	191	191	100.00%	163	85.34%	24	12.57%	62	32.46%	536
NCR	95	95	100.00%	0	0.00%	11	11.58%	84	88.42%	401
TOTAL	5,727	5,575	97.35%	3,885	69.69%	1,542	27.66%	2,756	49.43%	25,804

REGIONAL JAIL DECONGESTION ACTIVITIES IN 2021

REGIONS	Jail Decongestion Services/ Activities		Probation		Referrals			MSEC/ GCTA	Release on Recognizance
	Jail	Office	Probation	Pre-Parole/ Exec. Clemency	PAO	Prosecution	Others		
I	297	79	308	28	3	0	744	85	0
II	147	31	256	3	16	0	1	29	0
III	672	425	4,491	129	1,942	232	114	1,289	83
IV - A	923	36	3,539	0	508	0	1,299	27	2
IV - B	147	27	226	176	141	24	35	49	0
V	270	68	557	4	6	0	156	36	12
VI	607	450	1,607	41	300	16	394	48	607
VII	1,027	165	3,444	32	404	92	1,172	245	89
VIII	328	83	571	83	235	3	608	844	9
IX	224	782	1,652	26	841	44	0	31	213
X	248	109	777	59	22	9	13	0	3
XI	366	89	1,855	898	719	271	446	2,278	0
XII	150	71	309	1	77	0	0	2	22
XIII	226	45	837	89	279	24	74	45	34
CAR	676	43	343	18	0	0	46	141	0
NCR	3,168	468	4,809	459	209	130	0	113	0
TOTAL	9,476	2,971	25,581	2,046	5,702	845	5,102	5,262	1,074



REGIONAL SOCIAL MARKETING ACTIVITIES IN 2021

REGIONS	NUMBER OF ACTIVITIES CONDUCTED				
	FORA/ SYMPOSIA	PRINT	RADIO	TV	Primers Distributed
I	628	0	5	1	3,386
II	186	1	6	0	776
III	230	71	2	0	489
IV - A	219	0	5	1	1,431
IV - B	61	0	0	3	736
V	438	1	11	5	766
VI	277	3	2	0	2,215
VII	187	126	31	14	4,892
VIII	360	45	43	1,055	3,697
IX	147	2	12	0	984
X	106	0	0	1	373
XI	337	6	22	3	3,607
XII	7	4	0	0	180
XIII	109	0	18	3	7,769
CAR	471	4	3	0	1,462
NCR	178	0	0	0	1,221
TOTAL	3,941	263	160	1,086	33,984





About the cover

*“It is during our darkest moments that
we must focus to see the light.”*

The proverb of Aristotle Socrates Onassis